

Study ups plant CO2 intake estimates

Planet Earth Online

We use cookies to make your experience of our website better. To comply with EU regulations we need to ask for your consent to set these cookies.

[I agree](#) | [No thanks](#) | [Find out more](#)

Planet Earth Online

Natural Environment Research Council Home Planet Earth Online homepage

- [Log in](#)

[Search](#)



NEWS FROM THE NATURAL WORLD

Planet Earth Online

- [Home](#)
- [News](#)
- [Features](#)
- [Podcasts & video](#)
- [Blog](#)

- [Contact us](#)
- [About us](#)

JavaScript seems to be disabled on your browser - some functions on this site will not work without it ([details](#))

Latest news

- [Study ups plant CO2 intake estimates](#)
- [Microplastics endanger ocean health](#)
- [Mice put endangered birds at risk of extinction](#)

Study ups plant CO₂ intake estimates

Published on Chem.Info (<http://www.chem.info>)

- Algae cultivation could boost UK industry
- Size matters for ocean acidification effects

Top stories

News

- Dolphins introduce themselves with tunes
- Epic cross-hemisphere songbird migration revealed

Features

- The smoke detectors
- Snakebite!

Podcasts & video

- River Thames pollution, Arctic freshwater bulge
- Testing satellites on Earth, hedgerow wildlife

News

Study ups plant CO₂ intake estimates

18 May 2012, by Tom Marshall

Plants may be able to limit the impact of our CO₂ emissions even more than we previously thought, an innovative new experiment suggests.

Study ups plant CO₂ intake estimates

Published on Chem.Info (<http://www.chem.info>)



The study is the first to try to create a simplified self-contained replica of the Earth's land-based carbon cycle, using soil and plants in airtight containers. As researchers increased temperature and CO₂ levels, the plants kept absorbing the extra gas for longer than computer models and earlier experiments had predicted.

In fact, they took in 62 per cent of the extra CO₂ and prevented the temperature from increasing by more than 2.3°C. At that point the gas's concentration was still beneath 500 parts per million (ppm). Without the plants' increased activity, it would have been 760ppm, implying warming of around 4.4°C.


It's hardly a solution to climate change; plants couldn't keep this up for ever, and the experiments did not include the changes in the availability of water and nutrients that will be another consequence of climate change and that will almost certainly limit plant growth in many areas. But the study does suggest that plants may be more capable of adapting to changing conditions than we thought, and it will give us a more accurate idea of the consequences of climate change.

'The scenarios we used were very optimistic in terms of future CO₂ anthropogenic emissions, so there are still very serious concerns about climate change,' says Dr Alex Milcu of Imperial College, London, the paper's lead author. 'But it does look like plants may be able to take in more CO₂ than previous experiments and models predicted.'

At the moment, scientists estimate that terrestrial plants mop up around a quarter of the CO₂ we emit. Increasing CO₂ levels means they can grow faster and absorb even more of the gas. But the higher temperatures predicted from climate change will also make the microbes in the soil more active, increasing their respiration and hence their CO₂ emissions.

Study ups plant CO₂ intake estimates

Published on Chem.Info (<http://www.chem.info>)



Closed experiment to show how plants will respond to climate change

So we don't know what the overall effect will be - will more land ecosystems absorb more CO₂ on balance, or less? Understanding this would let us make better predictions of the effect releasing different amounts of carbon into the air would have.

Previous studies have tried to answer the question either using computer models or by putting plants in an experimental setup and changing just one variable at a time - for instance, raising CO₂ and seeing how they react.

But this approach doesn't do justice to the complexity of the carbon cycle, which is full of hidden tipping points and feedback loops. When the environment changes and affects how plants grow, their response changes the environment again, in turn affecting their growth, and so on. The researchers behind this study decided to try to model this complexity by building a closed, airtight system that worked as a simplified version of the whole terrestrial carbon cycle.

'Computer climate models have become increasingly complex and I wanted to go back to basics. We achieved this by somewhat radically building a 'physical' model of the terrestrial carbon cycle. This is equivalent to using a wind tunnel, as opposed to a computer simulation, to test the aerodynamics of a new structure,' says co-author Professor Phil Ineson, from the University of York. 'Our experimental findings made total sense but suggested that the capacity of the Earth to buffer against rising carbon dioxide may be greater than the computer models imply - we still have the same major concerns about climate change, but the Earth system may have some tricks up her sleeve!'

They put plants growing in microbe-rich soil into sealed plastic cabinets and varied the conditions they grew under, monitoring what happened under different scenarios for three months. Some were kept in unchanged conditions; others got more CO₂ but unchanged temperatures. A third group got more CO₂ and temperatures that changed according to a climate model's predictions of the effects of its CO₂ levels - so the higher CO₂ levels climbed, the hotter it got.

They found that the plants in the final category didn't just absorb the extra carbon released by the soil microbes; they also took in much of the extra CO₂ that had

Study ups plant CO₂ intake estimates

Published on Chem.Info (<http://www.chem.info>)

been added to their cabinets' atmosphere, limiting temperature increase. But the plants didn't bring CO₂ levels or temperatures back down again; conditions never returned to how they'd started throughout the life of the experiment.

Milcu says the team would have liked to have carried on for longer, but that the experiment was so difficult to set up that by the time they sealed every leak and got a truly closed system working, they had just three months left.

They have done limited trials of a more sophisticated follow-up experiment whose cabinets also included an aquatic component, as the oceans are at least as important as plants on land in absorbing CO₂ from the atmosphere. But these weren't conclusive, and Milcu would like to carry out much more extensive and longer-lasting trials using this improved setup. He'd also like to use much more diverse plant communities, rather than just one kind of plant as here, to give a better idea of the overall response of whole ecosystems.

The NERC-funded study, published in *Nature Climate Change*, was authored by scientists at Imperial College London and the Universities of Newcastle, Reading, Stirling and York. It took place at NERC's Ecotron controlled-environment facility at Imperial.

[SOURCE](#) [1]

Source URL (retrieved on 10/23/2014 - 5:00pm):

<http://www.chem.info/news/2012/05/study-ups-plant-co2-intake-estimates>

Links:

[1] <http://planetearth.nerc.ac.uk/news/story.aspx?id=1232>